

Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati

LNF-66/11

C. Bacci, G. Penso, G. Salvini, C. Mencuccini and V. Silvestrini :
PHOTOPRODUCTION OF THE ETA PARTICLE AT 800-100 MeV.
A COMPARISON BETWEEN THE π N AND η N SYSTEM.

Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 157 (1966)

if-mass-shell effects, we therefore use the
 at decay rate to infer for the right-hand side
 Eq. (19) the magnitude $(0.40 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-6}$.
 or the left-hand side the experimental mag-
 nitude is $(0.56 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-6}$.

Work supported by the U. S. Air Force Office of Re-
 arch, Air Research and Development Command.
¹S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 1051 (1965).
²W. I. Weisberger, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 1047
 965).

³M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. 125, 1064 (1962); and
 Physics 1, 63 (1964).

⁴Essentially the same identity is derived by S. Fubini,
 G. Furlan, and C. Rossetti, to be published; S. L. Ad-
 ler, Phys. Rev. 137, B1022 (1965); V. Alessandrini,
 M. Bég, and L. Brown, to be published.

⁵These and all following data are taken from the re-
 port of G. H. Trilling, in Proceedings of the Interna-
 tional Conference on Weak Interactions, Argonne Na-
 tional Laboratory, 25-27 October 1965 (unpublished).

⁶We thank Mr. Paul Fishbane for calling this point
 to our attention.

⁷R. W. Birge *et al.*, Phys. Rev. 139, B1600 (1965).

LNF-66/011

PHOTOPRODUCTION OF THE ETA PARTICLE AT 800-1000 MeV.
 A COMPARISON BETWEEN THE πN AND THE ηN SYSTEM.

C. Bacci, G. Penso, and G. Salvini

Istituto di Fisica dell'Università and Istituto di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy

and

C. Mencuccini and V. Silvestrini

Laboratori Nazionali del Comitato Nazionale per l'Energia Nucleare, Frascati, Italy

(Received 13 December 1965)

Photoproduction on protons of the eta parti-
 cle,

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow \eta + p, \quad (1)$$

as been measured at the Frascati 1100-MeV
 electron synchrotron, for incident photon en-
 ergies from 800 to 1000 MeV. Our results al-
 low a comparison with the pion production chan-
 nel^{1,2}

$$\pi^- + p \rightarrow \eta + n \quad (2)$$

as well as a comparison between the cross
 sections for pion and for eta production in both
 input channels, $\gamma + N$ and $\pi + N$ (N stands for
 nucleon). A coherent picture in the $T = \frac{1}{2}$ iso-
 spin state is obtained. We find that the η - N
 system is dominated at low energy by a state
 different from the known π - N resonances, in
 good agreement with the suggested S_{11} η - N
 resonance.³

The experimental arrangement is similar
 in principle to the one used in our previous
 set of measurements,⁴ but the apparatus has
 a much larger acceptance and a better resolu-
 tion. The experimental layout is shown in Fig. 1.
 The γ -ray beam from the electron synchrotron
 is incident upon a 7.4-cm liquid H_2 target. Pro-
 tons are detected in the proton telescope (PT),
 which is a combination of counters and four

spark chambers.

On the line of flight of the η there is a total-
 absorption lead-glass Cherenkov counter C
 with an anticoincidence counter in front (S_5)
 to detect γ rays. The energy of the γ ray de-
 tected by C is measured by a pulse-height an-
 alyzer and recorded on each photograph of the
 spark chambers. All our spark-chamber events
 are triggered by a coincidence between a pro-
 ton in PT and a photon in C . The eta is detect-
 ed by two different methods, as already de-
 scribed by us.⁴

The first one (step method) is based on the
 energy distribution of the protons from Reac-
 tion (1) in PT . An example of this distribution
 is given in Fig. 2(a). It shows the energy spec-
 trum of the protons detected in coincidence
 with a photon in C of energy $E_C \geq 420$ MeV.
 In this case most of the events come from Re-
 action (1). An alternative method for detect-
 ing process (1) consists in plotting the ener-
 gy distribution of the protons for a "monochro-
 matic" incident γ -ray beam at a given angle
 in the laboratory. This is simply obtained
 by taking the difference between the proton
 energy spectra at two different values of the
 maximum energy E_0 of the bremsstrahlung
 beam, normalized for the same number of
 equivalent quanta. This gives direct evidence





FIG. 1. Experimental layout. S: scintillation counters; C: total absorption lead glass Cherenkov; SC: spark chamber.

of the existence of process (1). In Fig. 2(b), as an example, this difference for $E_0 = 900$ and 860 MeV is given. Reaction (1) must give a peak at the position corresponding to a mass of 548 MeV for the photoproduced particle, with an experimental width depending on our resolution and on the sharpness of our bremsstrahlung cutoff. In Fig. 2(b) the expected position of the "peak" for different mass values of the η is shown; the experimental peak appears at the right place.

The second method (γ -rays method)⁴ is based on the pulse-height analysis of the γ ray detected in C. The interpretation of the results is in this case less certain due to the rather poor resolution of the Cherenkov counter. As we shall see, the two methods give very consistent results.

Results. - In Fig. 3 we report our results, that is, the cross section for process (1) in the interval $100^\circ - 120^\circ$ for the c.m. angle of the η and at different energies of the incident photon. The agreement between the results

FIG. 2. (a) A typical result of the step method: Energy spectrum of protons in coincidence with a γ ray in C of energy $E_c \geq 420$ MeV. $E_0 = 990$ MeV. The expected position of the step for $m_\eta = 530, 550, 570$ MeV is shown. The angle of the proton telescope is 20.6° , with an acceptance of $\pm 2.35^\circ$; the energy resolution is $\sim \pm 3$ MeV. (b) Difference between the proton spectra for $E_0 = 900$ MeV and $E_0 = 860$ MeV (see text).



obtained by using the step method and the γ -ray method is very good; we stress, however, that the two measurements are obviously not completely independent.

The c.m. cross sections $(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\gamma\gamma}$ (ordinates on the left in Fig. 3) are the cross sections for η production when the η decays by the $\gamma\gamma$ mode. They have been extracted from the events with a high pulse ($E_C \geq 420$ MeV) in C, so that mostly the $\gamma\gamma$ mode is observed. The differential cross section for all the decay modes of the produced eta can be immediately obtained when the branching ratio $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma_{\text{tot}} = \Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma) / \Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \text{all modes})$ is known. Using the value $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma_{\text{tot}} = 0.38$, which comes from the world average,⁵ we obtain the values of

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega^*} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega^*} \right)_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}}{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}},$$

which are reported on the ordinates on the right in Fig. 3. The errors in Fig. 3 include the uncertainties due to background subtraction and an evaluation of possible systematic errors.⁴ At the top of the diagram, the c.m. angle of the emitted eta is given. The results of our previous measurements^{4,6} are also reported.

As shown in Fig. 3, the cross section for η photoproduction goes down very fast with the energy of the producing photon in the interval 900 to 950 MeV. This decrease is analogous to, but sharper than, the behavior of the η production cross section in the channel (2).

In the following we examine our results, and compare them to the π -N channels, in order to determine if the η -N final channel goes through the same resonances as the π -N final channel, or whether there are also peculiar η -N resonances. The comparison is therefore among the four processes (1), (2),

$$\gamma + N \rightarrow \pi + N, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\pi + N \rightarrow \pi + N \quad (4)$$

in the $T = \frac{1}{2}$ isospin state.

The processes (1) and (2) are pure $T = \frac{1}{2}$ states; the Reactions (3) and (4) are in general a mixture of $T = \frac{1}{2}$ and $T = \frac{3}{2}$. The $T = \frac{1}{2}$ part of process (4) is given by

$$\sigma_{\pi, T = \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{3}{2} [\sigma(\pi^- \pi^-) + \sigma(\pi^- \pi^0) - \frac{1}{3} \sigma(\pi^+ \pi^+)],$$

where for instance $\sigma(\pi^- \pi^0)$ stands for $\sigma(\pi^- + p$




FIG. 3. Experimental results: $d\sigma/d\Omega^*(\eta \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma)$ (ordinates on the left) and $d\sigma/d\Omega^*(\eta \rightarrow \text{all modes})$ (ordinates on the right), as a function of K (energy of the incident photon) and of P_{η}^* (c.m. momentum of the η). In the upper scale the c.m. angle of the η is shown.

$-\pi^0 + n$). For the process (3) we are in an energy region in which the state $T = \frac{3}{2}$ seems to be negligible in the approximations of the present analysis.⁷ So we assume

$$\sigma_{\gamma, T = \frac{1}{2}} = \sigma(\gamma\pi^0) + \sigma(\gamma\pi^+) \approx 3\sigma(\gamma\pi^0),$$

where $\sigma(\gamma\pi^0)$ stands for $\sigma(\gamma + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + p)$, etc.; σ and $d\sigma/d\Omega^*$ are the total and differential cross sections. Then we can calculate the ratios

$$R_{\gamma}(\theta) = \frac{(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\gamma, T = \frac{1}{2}}}{(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\gamma, \eta}}$$

and

$$R_{\pi}(\theta) = \frac{(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\pi, T = \frac{1}{2}}}{(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\pi, \eta}}$$

In Fig. 4 the values of $R_{\gamma}(\theta)$ and $R_{\pi}(\theta)$ are given, as a function of P_{η}^* , momentum of the η in the c.m. system. They are deduced from our present and previous^{4,6} measurements and from the results of other authors.^{1,8-10} $R_{\gamma}(\theta)$ and $R_{\pi}(\theta)$ have the same behavior as functions of energy, and their absolute values are very close to each other, consistent with the assumption that the reactions we are considering are all in a $T = \frac{1}{2}$ isospin state.

In the same figure $R_{\gamma}(\text{total})$ and $R_{\pi}(\text{total})$ are also shown; they have the same meaning as $R_{\gamma}(\theta)$ and $R_{\pi}(\theta)$, the ratio being now between

total cross sections. $\sigma(\gamma\eta)$ has been evaluated as $(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\gamma\eta} \times 4\pi$, this assumption being supported by the isotropy¹¹ of $(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\gamma\eta}$ around $P_{\eta}^* = 200$ MeV/c and of $(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\pi\eta}$ up to $P_{\eta}^* \approx 300$ MeV/c.¹

The low values of R_{γ} and R_{π} in the region $140 \leq P_{\eta}^* \leq 300$ MeV/c ($\sim 5-10$) can hardly¹² be explained on the hypothesis that the second resonance $D_{13}N^{**}(1512$ MeV) is the dominant state of the η -N system. In fact various evidence indicates that the coupling constant $G_{\eta NN^{**}} \approx 0.2G_{\pi NN^{**}}$ or less, and the angular-momentum factors of the D_{13} state favor the π^0 by a factor ~ 200 .¹² The high production rate of the η in channel (2) near threshold and the isotropic angular distribution¹ have already led to the hypothesis³ that an S_{11} η -N resonance exists, with $T = J = \frac{1}{2}$. The energy is around 1520 MeV; the width Δ is ≤ 150 MeV. From our data it appears that the cross section for process (1) goes down faster than in process (2) at the same angle. This gives a stronger evidence in favor of a resonant behavior. The possible value of Δ as extracted from our data agrees with the hypothesis of Hendry and Moorhouse.³

In the region $P_{\eta}^* \geq 300$ MeV/c other states could be present, beyond a possible tail of the state S_{11} .

Our values do not exclude the possible presence of the third F_{15} π -N resonance. Using Dashen's formalism,¹² our results, and the




FIG. 4. The ratio of π^- to η -production cross sections, as a function of the c.m. momentum of the η . The laboratory energy of the particle producing the reaction is also reported. R_{γ} and R_{π} are defined in the text.

results of Ref. 10, we find an upper limit for the ratio of the π and η couplings with the N^{***} third resonance:

$$\frac{G_{\eta NN^{***}}^2}{G_{\pi NN^{***}}^2} \leq 3 \frac{(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\gamma\eta}}{(d\sigma/d\Omega^*)_{\gamma\pi^0}} \approx 0.15.$$

This value does not disagree with unitary symmetry predictions.¹²

¹F. Bulos, R. E. Lanou, A. E. Pifer, A. M. Shapiro, M. Widgoff, R. Panvini, A. E. Brenner, C. A. Bordner, M. E. Law, E. E. Ronat, K. Strauch, J. J. Szymanski, P. Bastien, B. B. Brabson, Y. Eisenberg, B. T. Feld, V. K. Fisher, I. A. Pless, L. Rosenson, R. K. Yamamoto, G. Calvelli, L. Guerriero, G. A. Salandin, A. Tomasin, L. Ventura, C. Voci, and F. Waldner, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **13**, 486 (1964).

²R. J. Cence, V. Z. Peterson, V. J. Stenger, C. B. Chin, R. D. Eandi, R. W. Kenney, B. J. Moyer, J. A. Poirier, and W. B. Richards, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on High-Energy Physics, Dubna, 1964* (to be published)

³A. W. Hendry and R. G. Moorhouse, *Phys. Letters* **18**, 171 (1965).

⁴C. Bacci, G. Penso, G. Salvini, A. Wattenberg, C. Mencuccini, R. Querzoli, and V. Silvestrini, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Photon Interactions in the BeV Energy Range*, edited by B. T. Feld (MIT Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1963), Vol. IV, Sec. 6. C. Bacci, G. Penso, G. Salvini, A. Wattenberg, C. Mencuccini, R. Querzoli, and V. Silvestrini, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **11**, 37 (1963). A more complete discussion of the experi-

mental method, sources of errors, etc., can be found in C. Bacci, G. Penso, G. Salvini, A. Wattenberg, C. Mencuccini, R. Querzoli, and V. Silvestrini, *Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati Internal Note No. LNF 64/6* (unpublished).

⁵A. H. Rosenfeld, A. Barbaro-Galtieri, W. H. Barkas, P. L. Bastien, J. Kirz, and M. Roos, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report No. 8030-Pt. 1, March 1965 (unpublished).

⁶C. Mencuccini, R. Querzoli, G. Salvini, and V. Silvestrini, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on High-Energy Nuclear Physics, Geneva, 1962*, edited by J. Prentki (CERN Scientific Information Service, Geneva, Switzerland, 1962), p. 33.

⁷This conclusion is based mainly on the following points: (i) No $T = \frac{3}{2}$ resonant state in the π - N system has been observed between 1400- and 1800-MeV total energy.⁹ (ii) In our energy region the ratio $\sigma(\gamma\pi^+)/\sigma(\gamma\pi^0)$ is close to 2. This is true also at 180° of the π , where the contribution of the photoelectric term to $\sigma(\gamma\pi^+)$ is zero. See also C. Bacci, C. Mencuccini, G. Penso, G. Salvini, and V. Silvestrini, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Photon and Electron Interactions at High Energies, Hamburg, June 1965* (to be published).

⁸F. Bulos *et al.* (see Ref. 1), *Phys. Rev. Letters* **13**, 558 (1964).

⁹J. Helland, C. Wood, T. Devlin, D. Hagge, M. Longo, B. Moyer, and V. Perez-Mendez, *Phys. Rev.* **134**, B1079 (1964).

¹⁰R. Diebold, *Phys. Rev.* **130**, 2089 (1963).

¹¹R. Prepost, D. Lundquist, and D. Quinn, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Photon and Electron Interactions at High Energies, Hamburg, June 1965* (to be published).

¹²R. F. Dashen, *Nuovo Cimento* **32**, 469 (1964).